

**Grants 2023/2024 - Requested Amounts and P & R Recommendations**

Following its meeting on 15th March 2023, the P & R Committee recommend the following awards be made for 2023/2024: -

Organisation/Group Name	2023/2024 Request	P & R Recommendation	2022/2023 Award	2021/2022 Award	2020/2021 Award	2019/2020 Award	2018/2019 Award	2017/2018 Award	2016/2017 Award	2015/2016 Award	2014/2015 Award
1996 Earley Squadron Air Training Corps	£4,500	£0	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
ARC	£2,000	£2,000	£1,500	£1,500	£1,500	£1,500	£2,000	£1,500	£1,500	£1,500	£1,500
Berkshire MS Therapy Centre	£800	£800	£750	No App	£500	x	£400	£400	£300	£150	x
Berkshire Vision	£1,080	£360	No App	£0	£500	£650	£650	£660	£690	£720	£740
Camp Mohawk	£1,000	£0	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Chemogiftbags	£4,085.95	£500	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Cianna's Smile	£1,000	£500	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Citizens Advice (Reading)	£2,000	£500	£750	£1,000	£800	£876	£1,000	£900	£720	£900	£850
Citizens Advice (Wokingham)	£4,000	£2,500	£2,000	£2,000	£1,200	£1,124	£1,000	£900	£1,080	£900	£850
Cruse Bereavement Care -Berkshire	£600	£500	No App	£500	£200	£300	£200	£600	£500	£500	£500
EarleyBus	£750	£750	£725	£725	£725	£700	£650	£650	£650	£650	£650
Earley Day Centre	£400	£400	£500	£200	£300	£200	x	£200	x	x	£500
Earley District Guides **	£5,800	£1,000	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Earley Environmental Group (EEG)	£400	£300	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
EASI	£300	£300	£300	£150	£100	x	x	x	x	x	x
First Days Children's Charity	£1,500	£1,500	£1,500	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Home-Start Wokingham District	£1,200	£600	£600	£600	£500	£500	£350	£350	£350	£350	£250
Keep Mobile	£1,695	£900	£600	£0	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Link Visiting Scheme	£1,500	£1,000	£500	£800	£500	x	£500	£500	£250	x	£0
Loddon Primary School PTA	£5,000	£0	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Me2 Club	£500	£500	£500	£500	£300	£500	£400	£300	£250	x	£250
Parenting Special Children (PSC)	£1,500	£700	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Readibus	£5,000	£2,000	No App	£1,500	£1,500	£1,500	£1,500	£1,500	£1,500	£6,000	£6,000
Reading Male Voice Choir	£135	£135	£200	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Trinity Church	£500	£500	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Wokingham Volunteer Centre	£500	£500	£0	£250	£100	£100	£100	x	x	x	x
Wokingham Waterside Centre	£686	£686	£2,000	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>£48,431.95</b>	<b>£19,431.00</b>									

\*\*Earley District Guides have requested between £1000 - £5800 (higher amount is showing on above table)

**NOTE: Grant Budget for 2023/24 is £40,000 (includes amount for sports sponsorship, previously £750)**

## Earley and Woodley



Ward name	Number of councillors	Variance 2028
East Woodley	3	-9%
North Earley	3	9%
North Woodley	3	-9%
South East Earley	3	5%
South West Earley	3	-1%
South Woodley	3	-2%

*East Woodley, North Woodley and South Woodley*

53 The Council put forward proposals for three three-councillor East Woodley, North Woodley and South Woodley wards for this area, entirely contained within Woodley parish. Under the revised electorate forecasts discussed in paragraph 24–27, its North Woodley ward would have 11% fewer electors than the borough average by 2028, rather than 10% fewer as under the initial forecasts. The Council stated that it could not reach a consensus on whether the Whitegates area should remain in an Earley ward or be in a Woodley ward. However, the majority view was that it is part of Earley parish and should therefore be in an Earley ward, with the minority stating that the physical links to Earley are weak and that it looks to Woodley. The Council stated that these wards used major roads as boundaries and kept the airfield development in a single ward. It also put forward alternative proposals for three-councillor East Woodley, North Woodley and South Woodley wards, which were based on a ‘minority’ that sought to minimise change to the existing wards.

54 Councillor Baker supported the creation of three-councillor wards within Woodley parish. However, he expressed support for the Council’s minority view, along with different ward names, his Coronation ward mirroring the Council’s minority view North Woodley, Loddon mirroring East Woodley and South Lake identical to West Woodley. He stated that his Loddon ward matches the existing ward, except for a small area in the north-east, which is transferred to Coronation ward. He added that this means Loddon Bridge Road does not have a boundary running along it. The councillor’s South Lake ward comprises the existing ward with the addition of the west Bulmershe area and avoids the current boundary along Woodlands Road. Finally, his Coronation ward reflects elements of the existing Coronation ward, but with the addition of east Bulmershe area and part of Loddon, discussed above. A resident put forward similar proposals to the Council’s ‘minority’ pattern, but proposed calling the wards Woodley Loddon, Woodley North and Woodley South.

55 A resident objected to the current inclusion of the Whitegates area of Earley parish in a Woodley ward. Another resident accepted that Whitegates is in a different parish, but that given the ‘population, it is a good mix’ and they should be in a Woodley ward. They rejected putting Whitegates in a ward with Sonning or putting in the existing Maiden Erlegh ward as they are separated by the A3290.

56 A resident expressed concern about the inclusion of the Mannock Way area in the existing Coronation ward, arguing they would be better served in Loddon ward – or East Woodley under the Council’s proposals. Finally, a resident stated that School Drive should be in South Lake ward, not Loddon, reflecting its access.

57 We have given careful consideration to the evidence received. We note the comments about the Whitegates area, but this area cannot be included in Woodley without moving away from the uniform pattern of three-member wards. In addition,

there are objections to including this area in a Woodley ward. Therefore, we are placing Whitegates in an Earley ward, as discussed below.

58 We note that the Council's proposals secure good electoral equality with the exception of the -11% for North Woodley. They also address issues of access around School Drive and Mannock Way under the existing wards that were raised by residents.

59 We have also considered 'minority view' proposals, as supported by Councillor Baker. However, we have a number of concerns, particularly the boundary between Coronation and Loddon wards, which as flagged by a resident results in the Mannock Way area having no direct access into its ward. Hurricane Way is divided under the proposal. We do not consider these suggestions provide for effective and convenient local government, and these concerns are not offset by avoiding ward boundaries along Loddon Bridge Road and Woodlands Avenue. Indeed, we believe these roads make clear boundaries. In addition, Councillor Baker stated that his proposal places the east and west areas of Bulmershe communities in separate wards, while the Council's proposal keeps these together with the town centre at the centre. We consider the Council's proposal to use the town centre as a focus provides a stronger warding pattern than proposals that divide it.

60 Therefore, we consider that the Council's proposals provide the best basis for the draft recommendations in this area. We do, however, propose a small amendment to secure better electoral equality in North Woodley ward by transferring Hartigan Place to North Woodley. This road has access directly into the ward and would improve electoral equality in North Woodley to 9% fewer electors than the borough average by 2028.

61 We note that there was not agreement on the names for these wards, with a resident putting 'Woodley' at the front, while the Council used it at the end. The resident also retained the Loddon name for the Council's Woodley East ward. We have no particular view on the use of Woodley as a name at the front or end, but note that with two of the wards using compass points in their name, it would be unusual to have one with a geographical name, i.e. Loddon. We are therefore using the Council's names as part of our draft recommendations, but would welcome local views on the most appropriate names for these wards.

62 Our East Woodley, North Woodley and South Woodley wards would have 9% fewer, 9% fewer and 2% fewer electors than the borough average by 2028, respectively.

*North Earley, South East Earley and South West Earley*

63 The Council proposed three-councillor North Earley, South East Earley and South West Earley wards for this area. As discussed above in the East Woodley, North Woodley and South Woodley section, the Council stated that it could not reach a consensus on whether the Whitegates area should remain in an Earley ward or be in a Woodley ward. However, the majority view was that it is part of Earley parish and should therefore be in an Earley ward, with the minority stating that the physical links to Earley are weak and that it looks to Woodley. The Council also noted that by respecting the Earley parish boundary it was possible to secure a uniform pattern of three-councillor wards.

64 The Council also stated that it could not reach a consensus on whether the Shinfield North area should be in an Earley ward, with a minority considering the M4 a barrier to the rest of Shinfield. A resident put forward similar proposals to the Council, but proposed calling the wards Earley North, Earley South East and Earley South West.

65 Earley & Shinfield Liberal Democrats expressed broad support for the Council's proposals for this area, but proposed a number of small amendments between North Earley ward and South East Earley and West Earley wards to provide a stronger boundary along Gipsy Lane, Mill Lane and Wokingham Road.

66 Parish Councillor Chopping expressed support for the existing wards. A resident proposed transferring an area to the south of Lower Earley Way to Winnersh. However, this area only contains a few electors and would not make a viable parish ward within Earley parish. In addition, there is no other evidence of support for such a change. Therefore, we are not adopting this proposal.

67 One resident provided good evidence for including part of Shinfield North in an Earley ward. He argued that Shinfield North is separated from the rest of Shinfield by the M4 and that the Shinfield Rise estate has footpath links into the Whitegates area of Earley. He also pointed out that Ryhill Way has no direct access into Shinfield North ward or indeed Shinfield parish and only access into Earley. As a result, the resident argued that the area to the east of Shinfield Road should be included in Earley. A number of respondents proposed transferring the Ryhill Way area to Earley, citing a lack of direct access to Shinfield and use of services in Earley.

68 We have given careful consideration to the evidence received. As discussed in the Woodley section (above), we note that there is not total agreement on where the Whitegates area sits best, but putting it in a Woodley ward means moving away from the three-member pattern of wards. Therefore, we are placing Whitegates in an Earley ward, as suggested by the Council. Doing this means moving away from the existing Earley wards, as they need to be adjusted to accommodate the Whitegates area, as well as improve electoral equality of the existing wards.

69 We consider that the Council's proposals provide a good basis for the draft recommendations. We note the concerns about the Shinfield North area. Given the number of electors, moving this area would mean departing from the three-member ward pattern. Even just including the area to the east of Shinfield Road in an Earley ward, as suggested by a resident, would worsen electoral equality in Shinfield ward to over -10%, which we do not consider acceptable. In addition, we note that the Shinfield Rise area does have direct access into Shinfield, via Shinfield Road, even if it is closer in proximity to parts of Earley. However, we note that the Ryhill Way has no direct access into Shinfield (except for a single footpath) and that its links are directly into Earley. Transferring this area would require the creation of a parish ward of Earley parish and worsen electoral equality in Shinfield ward (discussed below) to 8% fewer electors than the district average by 2023. It would, however, improve in the Council's West Earley ward to 1% fewer, rather than 3% fewer. We consider that this amendment should be adopted because of the improved reflection of community identity.

70 We have considered the proposal from the Earley & Shinfield Liberal Democrats for an amendment to North Earley ward. This provides a good boundary, reflecting the access of the electors on these roads, albeit while moving away from the centre of road boundaries. However, we consider this a good boundary. While this arrangement worsens electoral equality in North Earley ward from 7% to 9% more electors than the borough average by 2028, it improves it in South East Earley ward from 8% more to 5% more. Therefore, on balance, we are persuaded to adopt these amendments.

71 We note that there was not agreement on the names for these wards, with a resident putting 'Earley' as a prefix, while the Council used it as suffix. The resident proposed a 'South West' ward, rather than 'South'. We have no particular view on the use of Earley as a suffix or prefix, but consider the use of South West sensible given that the two wards south of the A3290 contain south areas of Earley parish. We are therefore adopting the 'South West' element of the resident's ward name, but would welcome local views.

72 Our draft recommendations are for three-councillor North Earley, South East Earley and South West Earley wards which would have 9% more, 5% more and 1% fewer electors than the borough average by 2028, respectively.



## Conclusions

106 The table below provides a summary as to the impact of our draft recommendations on electoral equality in Wokingham, referencing the 2021 and 2028 electorate figures against the proposed number of councillors and wards. A full list of wards, names and their corresponding electoral variances can be found at Appendix A to the back of this report. An outline map of the wards is provided at Appendix B.

### Summary of electoral arrangements

	Draft recommendations	
	2021	2028
Number of councillors	54	54
Number of electoral wards	18	18
Average number of electors per councillor	2,420	2,455
Number of wards with a variance more than 10% from the average	5	0
Number of wards with a variance more than 20% from the average	0	0

#### Draft recommendations

Wokingham Borough Council should be made up of 54 councillors serving 18 three-councillor wards. The details and names are shown in Appendix A and illustrated on the large maps accompanying this report.

#### Mapping

Sheet 1, Map 1 shows the proposed wards for Wokingham Borough Council. You can also view our draft recommendations for Wokingham Borough Council on our interactive maps at [www.consultation.lgbce.org.uk](http://www.consultation.lgbce.org.uk)

### Parish electoral arrangements

107 As part of an electoral review, we are required to have regard to the statutory criteria set out in Schedule 2 to the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 (the 2009 Act). The Schedule provides that if a parish is to be divided between different wards it must also be divided into parish wards, so that each parish ward lies wholly within a single ward. We cannot recommend changes to the external boundaries of parishes as part of an electoral review.



108 Under the 2009 Act we only have the power to make changes to parish electoral arrangements where these are as a direct consequence of our recommendations for principal authority warding arrangements. However, Wokingham Borough Council has powers under the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 to conduct community governance reviews to effect changes to parish electoral arrangements.

109 As a result of our proposed ward boundaries and having regard to the statutory criteria set out in schedule 2 to the 2009 Act, we are providing revised parish electoral arrangements for Earley, Finchampstead, Shinfield, Swallowfield, Wokingham and Woodley parishes.

110 We are providing revised parish electoral arrangements for Earley parish.

**Draft recommendations**

Earley Town Council should comprise 25 councillors, as at present, representing nine wards:

Parish ward	Number of parish councillors
Cutbush	4
Egremont	1
Hawkedon	4
Hillside	4
Maiden Erlegh	2
Radstock	4
Redhatch	1
St Nicolas	2
Whitegates	3

111 We are providing revised parish electoral arrangements for Finchampstead parish.

**Draft recommendations**

Finchampstead Parish Council should comprise 17 councillors, as at present, representing four wards:

Parish ward	Number of parish councillors
Finchampstead North	7
Finchampstead South	6
Finchampstead West	3
Lower Wokingham	1

## Have your say

116 The Commission has an open mind about its draft recommendations. Every representation we receive will be considered, regardless of who it is from or whether it relates to the whole borough or just a part of it.

117 If you agree with our recommendations, please let us know. If you don't think our recommendations are right for area, we want to hear alternative proposals for a different pattern of wards.

118 Our website has a special consultation area where you can explore the maps. You can find it at [www.consultation.lgbce.org.uk](http://www.consultation.lgbce.org.uk)

119 Submissions can also be made by emailing [reviews@lgbce.org.uk](mailto:reviews@lgbce.org.uk) or by writing to:

**Review Officer (Wokingham)**  
**LGBCE**  
**PO Box 133**  
**Blyth**  
**NE24 9FE**

120 The Commission aims to propose a pattern of wards for Wokingham Borough Council which delivers:

- Electoral equality: each local councillor represents a similar number of electors.
- Community identity: reflects the identity and interests of local communities.
- Effective and convenient local government: helping your council discharge its responsibilities effectively.

121 A good pattern of wards should:

- Provide good electoral equality, with each councillor representing, as closely as possible, the same number of electors.
- Reflect community interests and identities and include evidence of community links.
- Be based on strong, easily identifiable boundaries.
- Help the council deliver effective and convenient local government.



**Earley Town Council Meeting – 29<sup>th</sup> March 2023**

**Agenda Item 11.**

**UPDATES**

An update on the current position of outstanding issues at this, the last meeting of the Council before the elections in May:

**Silverdale Centre**

In accordance with Maiden Erlegh Trust's instruction that the Town Council must vacate the Silverdale Centre by the end of March, the Town Council will be handing back the keys on 24<sup>th</sup> March 2023. The equipment for the youth club and bike workshop has been put into storage whilst we seek an alternative venue. The building will be removed from the Town Council's asset register and from its insurance policy.

**Centrepont Community Centre**

Representatives from the Town Council continue to negotiate with Wokingham Borough Council to try to agree acceptable terms for a new lease. The Town Council has requested that a further meeting with WBC be held within the next couple of weeks and we are hopeful that an agreement can be reached. Earley Town Council is requesting that the new restrictive clauses inserted by WBC in the heads of terms will be removed, thus enabling us to progress with the planned move of youth services and the bike workshop to the Centrepont building.

**Transfer of Sibly**

The Town Council has agreed the terms of the transfer and its solicitor has been communicating with Persimmon's solicitor in an effort to finalise this asset transfer. ETC's solicitor has reported that there is no discernible reason for the current delay and is assuming that it is only Persimmon's reluctance to transfer the associated funds that is holding things up. The Town Clerk has asked the solicitor what action ETC can take to push this through.

**Mays Lane Cemetery – extension**

The historic use of the extension land has required investigations to determine which areas of the extension land will be suitable for burials, as opposed to interring cremated remains, before the design of the extension can be completed. There have been delays, outside of the Town Council's control, in securing specialist equipment to carry out ground surveys but we have been assured that this work will be completed before the end of March. Completion of the project as soon as possible is of utmost importance and the company assisting the Town Council with the technical work has committed to prioritising our project.

Jo Friend

Town Clerk

RECORD OF COUNCILLOR ATTENDANCES – 2022/23

NAME OF COUNCILLOR	COUNCIL										AMENITIES										PLANNING										POLICY & RESOURCES									
	05	06	07	10	11	02	03	05	06	09	11	1	03	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	01	02	03	04	06	07	10	11	01	03									
A. BASSETT	✓	✓			✓	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			A										✓		✓	✓	✓	✓								
G. BHANGRA		✓			✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓																										
N. BROCK	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓																								
D. CHOPPING			✓																																					
J. CLARK	✓		✓			✓																				✓														
R. COOK	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
M. EASTWELL	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
J. EASTWELL	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
D. HARE	✓	✓	✓	B	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
R. HOULBROOKE	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
D. IRELAND	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
C. JONES	✓	B	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
I. KHAYINZA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
G. LITTLER	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
A. LONG	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
T. MAHER	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
S. MATTHEWS	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
A. MICKLEBURGH	B	B	✓	B	B	B							✓	B	✓	B	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	B	✓	✓	B									
A. NEAL	✓	B	✓	B	B	B					A	✓	B	B	✓	B	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓									
A. NEWTON	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
R. SANGSTER	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
M. SHAW	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
C. SMITH	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
M. SMITH	✓	✓	✓	B	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								
K. YABSLEY	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								

R = Representing Town Council      B = Attending Borough Meeting      A = In Attendance      hatched = Covid: Virtual      shaded cell denotes non-representation on Committees

**ORDERS FOR PAYMENT - BY DIRECT DEBIT/REFUNDS**

<b>Number</b>	<b>Supplier</b>	<b>Purchase Description</b>	<b>Amount</b>
5635	AIG Life Limited	Earley Town Council Group Life Cover Paid 20/7/22	£2,480.91
5636	PPL PRS Ltd	SD Music Licence refund Received 13/1/23	-£26.50
		<b>Total Direct Debits</b>	<b>£2,454.41</b>

Date Prepared: 16th February 23

**ORDERS FOR PAYMENT - BY BACS TRANSFER**

<b>Number</b>	<b>Supplier</b>	<b>Purchase Description</b>	<b>Amount</b>
5637	2468 Limited	RLCC Water Heater Service Yr to 31/3/24	£106.14
5638	ArmourArbor	MELNR Remove Ash tree branches overhanging residents house	£400.00
5639	Avoncrop Amenity Products	SJP Cricket Pitch dressing	£390.00
5640	Bowak Ltd	Caretaker supplies multiple centres	£235.78
5641	Brewers Decorator Centres	Phone Box project Sandpaper	£67.18
5642	Claire Connell	Internal Audit services Nov 22 and Jan 23	£900.00
5643	Frasers Office Supplies	Bubble wrap for Silverdale items	£53.75
5644	GEM Security Systems	RH Intruder Alarm Upgrade	£1,687.20
5645	Glass (Reading) Ltd	RLCC Double glazed unit	£176.98
5646	GLS	RLCC/MPCC/CP Paper Hand Towels	£104.36
5647	Grounds Management Association	Membership Yr to 3/3/24	£175.00
5648	Alan Hadley Ltd	Cem Skip Hire 22/2/23	£276.00
5649	Jupiter Play & Leisure Ltd	SJP Music & Story Ball, Base & Delivery	£4,941.00
5650	Lister Wilder	SV Ransome Mower Loom Repair	£2,658.09
5651	Lubbe & Sons (Bulbs) Ltd	MELNR Snowdrops	£486.00
5652	Meadowmania (MAS Seeds Ltd)	MELNR Wild flower seed mix	£160.00
5653	Play Inspection Company	Annual Inspection MP/SJP/BMX	£360.00
5654	Rialtas Business Solutions	Making Tax Digital -Vat support to 31/3/24	£134.86
5655	Rialtas Business Solutions	Omega Accounts support year to 31/3/24	£1,274.46
5656	Ricoh UK Ltd	SD Copier Termination charge	£163.00
5657	Select Environmental Services	RH Paper collection Jan 23	£12.48
5658	Select Environmental Services	General Litter Bins Collection Jan 23	£511.16
5659	Select Environmental Services	RLCC Waste Collection Jan 23	£57.53
5660	Select Environmental Services	MPCC Waste Collection Jan 23	£185.32
5661	Select Environmental Services	SD Waste Collection Jan 23	£76.72
5662	Select Environmental Services	CP Waste Collection Jan 23	£38.15
5663	Seton	Cem Safety Mirror for reversing	£136.73
5664	Surrey Loams Ltd	SJP Cricket Pitch dressing	£148.56
5665	Thake Signs	SJP New Signboard	£645.60
5666	Trade UK Screwfix	SJP Grease for Grease gun	£5.49
5667	Trade UK Screwfix	SJP Grease Gun & Grease, MELNR Battery for tools	£107.47
5668	Trade UK Screwfix	MPCC Wireless Door Bell	£24.99
5669	Trade UK B&Q	SJP Patio Cleaner washer brush	£24.00
5670	Trade UK B&Q	Maint 400mm Chisel	£13.24
5671	Trade UK B&Q	Noticeboards 4xCement bags	£88.00
5672	Web Marketing Matters	Website support Feb 23	£216.00
5673	William Luck	Planning Services Feb 23	£491.64

**Total: £17,532.88**

Date Prepared: 7th March 2023

**ORDERS FOR PAYMENT - BY DIRECT DEBIT**

February 2023

<b>Number</b>	<b>Supplier</b>	<b>Purchase Description</b>	<b>Amount</b>
5674	Allstar Business Solutions	Fuel 17/1 YK60UJJ	£128.95
5675	Allstar Business Solutions	Fuel 9/1 MX19WFV, EN65HDF	£156.33
5676	Allstar Business Solutions	Fuel 19/1 YS06BSO	£95.22
5677	Allstar Business Solutions	Fuel 27/1 EN65HDF	£44.92
5678	Castle Water	Water RH Aug-Sept 22 Adj	£5.15
5679	Castle Water	Water RH Jan 23	£40.61
5680	DVLC	MX19WFV Road Tax to 28/2/24	£290.00
5681	Focus Group	SD & RH Telephone line rental Feb 23 & calls Dec 22	£170.92
5682	O2	Mobile phones Jan 23	£178.87
5683	Opayo	Credit Card terminal rental Feb 23	£22.80
5684	PHS Group	RH Sanitary Disposal Qtr to 1/6/23	£10.58
5685	PHS Group	MPSocClub Sanitary Disposal Qtr to 1/6/23	£10.58
5686	PHS Group	MPCC Driers, Waste & Sanitary Disposal Qtr to 1/6/23	£370.65
5687	PHS Group	RLCC Driers, Waste & Sanitary Disposal Qtr to 1/6/23	£331.64
5688	PHS Group	IC Sanitary Disposal Qtr to 1/6/23	£5.29
5689	PHS Group	SJP Waste & Sanitary Disposal Qtr to 1/6/23	£76.16
5690	Regent Gas Ltd	RH Gas Jan 23	£182.73
5691	Regent Gas Ltd	RLCC Gas Jan 23	£934.87
5692	Regent Gas Ltd	SJP Gas Jan 23	£395.43
5693	Regent Gas Ltd	MPCC Gas Jan 23	£1,216.73
5694	Southern Electric	RH Oct 22	£342.51
5695	Southern Electric	RH Nov 22	£409.83
5696	Southern Electric	RH Dec 22	£436.09
5697	Southern Electric	St Light Jan 23 Dusk to Dawn Electricity	£581.59
5698	Southern Electric	St Light Jan 23 Continuous energy Electricity	£48.59
5699	Virgin Media	RH Broadband Feb 23	£24.65
5700	Waterlogic	SJP Water Cooler Jan 23	£44.78
5701	Waterlogic	RH Water Cooler Jan 23	£89.57
<b>Total Direct Debits</b>			<b>£6,646.04</b>

Date Prepared: 7th March 23



February 2023 Barclaycard

ORDERS FOR PAYMENT - BY BARCLAYCARD

Number	Supplier	Purchase Description	Amount
5702	Royal Mail	Stamps (PO 9827)	£131.50
5703	Zoom	Zoom month Feb 23	£14.39
5704	Dunelm	RLChub Teapot returned	-£11.20
5705	Amazon Prime	Prime Subscription Jan 23 credited	-£8.99
5706	Argos	Mem stck for Door Access Control	£7.99

**Total Barclaycard    £133.69**

Date Prepared: 7th March 2023

**IMPREST ACCOUNT - Reimbursement Vouchers 773 - 780**  
Vouchers between 16th February and 8th March 2023

<b>Number</b>	<b>Details</b>	<b>Amount</b>
773	Damage Deposit Return MR	£50.00
774	Damage Deposit Return MU	£50.00
775	Damage Deposit Return SC	£50.00
776	HMRC Mar 23 Payroll	£9,242.67
777	LGPS Pension Mar 23 Payroll	£12,015.73
778	Prudential AVCs Mar 23 Payroll	£200.00
779	Salaries Mar 23 Payroll	£28,998.03
780	Petty Cash Replenishment	£73.93
	<b>Total Payments</b>	<b><u>£50,680.36</u></b>